1	XENIA TOWNSHIP BOARD OF ZONING APPELAS
2	x
3	IN THE MATTER OF:
4	THE PUBLIC HEARING
5	VARIANCE, SECTION
6	504.1, Accessory
7	Structure in Interior
8	Yard, 1611 U.S. 68
9	South, Xenia, Ohio
10	x
11	TRANSCRIPT OF BOARD OF ZONING APPEALS
12	PUBLIC HEARING
13	April 15, 2025
14	
15	BZA MEMBERS PRESENT:
16	Nachan and
	Nathan Anthony, Chairman
17	Virgil Ferguson
17 18	1
	Virgil Ferguson
18	Virgil Ferguson Janis James
18 19	Virgil Ferguson Janis James Darren Jones
18 19 20	Virgil Ferguson Janis James Darren Jones Aidan Kolbe ALSO PRESENT:
18 19 20 21	Virgil Ferguson Janis James Darren Jones Aidan Kolbe
18 19 20 21 22	Virgil Ferguson Janis James Darren Jones Aidan Kolbe <u>ALSO PRESENT</u> : Alan Stock, Xenia Township Zoning Inspector
18 19 20 21 22 23	Virgil Ferguson Janis James Darren Jones Aidan Kolbe <u>ALSO PRESENT</u> : Alan Stock, Xenia Township Zoning Inspector

ļ	
1	(6:00 p.m.)
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	MR. ANTHONY: Good morning, call to
4	order.
5	My name is Nathan Anthony. I'm the
6	Chairperson of the Xenia Township Board of
7	Zoning Appeals.
8.	I'm calling this meeting to order
9	officially.
10	At the request of these Applicants,
11	Mr. and Morris, we are meeting publicly to discuss
12	their appeal to our zoning instrument.
13	As Chairperson, I do welcome you. I
14	thank you for being here and encourage you to
15	participate.
16	I'm going to review a few basic
17	rules before we get started. We do try and run an
18	orderly meeting so I'll specify some of the
19	procedures.
20	Please turn your phones to silent
21	for less distractions.
22	Each person who plans on talking,
23	please fill out one of these orange forms for
24	record sake.
25	The application will be read in by

the Township Administrator, Mr. Stock, and then the Applicant will present evidence to support a petition for this variance.

Because the Ohio courts have determined the decisions of this board to be an administrative or quasi-judicial capacity, it is necessary to administer an oath for perfecting of the witnesses and ensuring the accuracy of the decisions to be made tonight.

In a little bit, we'll do the Pledge of Allegiance, and I'll administer the oath so please remain standing after that.

All testimony will be presented to the board from the podium right here. We'll begin with the speaker's name, confirming they are under oath, and then their testimony.

Just a reminder, testimony is to expressly set forth facts and not opinion or emotions. Tonight's meeting is being recorded. We'll provide for Examination and Cross Examination of the facts regarding this application.

As Chairperson, I do reserve the right to limit public input and move to the board's deliberation of this application, fact

Sue Terry, FAPR, RPR, CRR, CRC 45 North Detroit Street Xenia, Ohio 45385 (937) 562-5101

testimony received and the zoning instrument. 1 The public input time will be very 2 I'll open both discussions and say the clear. 3 time, and there will be ample opportunity before 4 it's closed, and then it will be a black-and-white 5 when it's closed. 6 The Board will decide the issue in 7 one of three possible decisions: To approve, in 8 whole or in part; to reject in whole or part; or 9 to continue or reschedule the hearing to a 10 different date. The date and time will be 11 announced during this meeting if that were to 12 happen. The Applicant may also withdraw. 13 The decisions of this BZA do not 14 become effective until the expiration of five days 15 from the date of entry. 16 A BZA decision is final order. 1.7 Individuals adversely affected by the decision 18 can, under the provision of Ohio Revised Code 19 2506.04, appeal to the Court of Common Pleas. 20 We will now stand and honor our 21 country by the Pledge of Allegiance, and please 22 remain standing for me. 23

(Oath administered to speakers.)

(Pledge of Allegiance.)

24

j	MR. ANTHONY: Thank you. To the
2	Board members, have you read and considered this
3	application today?
4	APPEALS BOARD MEMBERS: (In unison.)
5	Yes.
6	MR. ANTHONY: And do you intend to
7	participate in the entire procedure and voting
8	when the question is asked?
9	APPEALS BOARD MEMBERS: (In unison.)
10	Yes.
11	MR. ANTHONY: Mr. Stock, would you
12	please call roll.
13	(Roll call.)
14	MR. ANTHONY: With us, we do have
15	Mr. Brian how do you say your name?
16	MR. GRAVUNDER: Gravunder.
17	MR. ANTHONY: And Sue Terry.
18	Mr. Stock, is this lawful and ready
19	to be voted on today to be considered today?
20	
21	MR. STOCK: What you have in your
22	packets under Exhibit A is the zoning application.
23	With that application is also the
24	questions that you have for the Duncan standards,
- 1	as well as the four questions under our zoning
25	instrument to be answered for a variance zoning

appeal.

In your packet, Exhibit B, you've got the Revised Code 519.12. You will notice that all of the checklist provided for you I think has been done within the time line. You also have the legal notice, you've got the sign, you've got the letter that was sent out, you've got the website, and, incidentally, you also have the neighbors within the 500-foot radius.

And Exhibit C, you have the Duncan standards -- well, you have a staff report, you've got the Duncan standard, and in red, you have what the Township response is. You also have a Resolution 685 with those four questions, and the answer in red.

Then you've got a Section 504 regarding accessory buildings. You've got the information from the zoning instrument for the required yard. You've got the setback information from the zoning instrument, and you've got Section 404, the schedule of yard and lot requirements.

Staff report is the Petitioner-owned .975 acres in that district with a single-residential structure.

The home was sold to Petitioners in

August of 2024. The Township became aware of an accessory structure being built due to a complaint. The 1,500 square foot structure was approximately 90 percent completed.

The Petitioner did not apply for a zoning permit for the 1,500 square foot structure.

Upon discovery, there was found to be another new 120-square-foot structure that also did not have a zoning permit. An application was sent to Petitioner and it was returned incomplete.

The Petitioner did not apply for a building permit in Greene County building regulations. Greene County went out to the property to red-tag the building and found the Petitioner had also not pulled a permit before residing and roofing the residence.

To date, no Greene County permit has been applied for. And, again, that was 10 days ago so -- isn't as of today, but 10 days ago when you received this information.

The Township sent a letter of noncompliance to the homeowner with an application for a BZA public hearing since the building did not meet the necessary setback requirements.

The structure is roughly 10 feet

from the lot line, which is approximately 9 feet 1 from the neighbors' driveway. 2 During this time, the Township was 3 made aware that the Petitioner had not asked the 4 neighbor to use the driveway for construction 5 traffic. 6 Upon receiving the application of 7 the BZA, the Petitioner was asked to provide a 8 letter from the neighbor for permission to use 9 their driveway to access the structure. That 10 permission letter has not yet been received. 11 Since the structure has been 12 completed and an access ramp to the floor 13 elevation has been created, 9 feet of which has 14 been constructed on the neighbors' property. 15 You then have a photo of the lot. 16 You have photos of the barn, and you also have the 17 The lot area is compliance. lot area. 18 current percentage is 8 percent, and with this new 19 1,500 percent -- or this new 1,500-square-foot 20 structure, they would still be underneath the 15 21 percent that's required. 22 You also have the letter that was 23 sent out February 19th to the homeowner. 24

25

Exhibit D is the agenda for this

1 evening. 2 Exhibit E is what we had called in for James Graham from 1644 U.S. 68, called to ask 3 what the variance was about after receiving the 4 information. 5 He stated that he was okay with it. 6 Robert Atkinson from 1651 U.S. 68, Mr. Atkinson called to ask what the sign on 68 was 7 After receiving the information, he stated 8 he only wanted information, did not have any 9 opinion on the matter. 10 11 Seeing that we have complied with everything that Revised Code 519.212 has stated, 12 you have every opportunity to hear this tonight, 13 and if so, render your findings with the 14 15 resolution. 16 MR. ANTHONY: Thank you. Before we call them up, do you mind just pulling up the GIS 17 on the computer? 18 19 MR. STOCK: Absolutely. 20 MR. ANTHONY: At this time, we're going to bring the Petitioner up, Mr. or Mrs. 21 Please affirm you're under oath, state 22 your name, and you can make a presentation. 23 24 MR. MORRIS: Darin Morris, 1611 U.S. 25 68 South.

MR. ANTHONY: You're under oath. 1 Thank you, sir. 2 Yeah, I was -- I MR. MORRIS: 3 apologize for all this. It's not how I would have 4 done things if I had realized. 5 Several years ago, we lived in Miami 6 We're originally from Greene County, but County. 7 we lived in Miami County and redid a structure 8 that had a foundation, and I was told then that if 9 it was agriculture, Al agriculture-zoned and it 10 was a pole building, you didn't have to have a 11 permit in Ohio. So I didn't apply for a permit. 12 And I found out, of course, when the county left 13 the thing and the Township -- you guys notified me 14 that I do have to have a permit. 15 I had searched -- I did a Google 16 search for Xenia Township, and it said offset 17 buildings, 10 feet. That's what I went by. I 18 didn't realize -- I didn't do it -- I didn't do 19 well enough in the research to realize it was 40 20 feet of setback and A1 agriculture, that there was 21 a difference. 22 So I built this -- we downsized from 23 around the corner where we used to live, and I 24 have three classic cars that I need to put under

roof somewhere. And right now, they are sitting at my father's house, and he just lost two of his to hail damage that was sitting outside because of mine, so I built this to store these cars in.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I had contracted this back in October, and so the previous owner, I had discussed everything with, because that was actually my cousins, and so -- and then Mr. Everett bought it after that. And so I think he got surprised when I started putting the building up, which I understand. I didn't realize he wasn't informed that was all going to happen, so -- but my intention is to improve, not to take And where the old wooden shed with the away. broken foundation is down -- it was sitting there, and put this up. This is another structure. And we actually added 10 foot to it, because we now have the dining room in our house because it's much smaller, and so we put a little room on the end so that on Sunday afternoons, my kids -- both my kids and their families come over and we have dinner after church.

So we put a dining room table out there, again, because it won't fit in the house, and that room, we use that with the porch. So

Sue Terry, FAPR, RPR, CRR, CRC 45 North Detroit Street Xenia, Ohio 45385 (937) 562-5101

	that actually added length to the building to do
1	i de la companya de
2	that. But
3	MR. ANTHONY: Okay.
4	MR. MORRIS: Any questions?
5	MR. ANTHONY: So we'll open some
6	board for clarifying questions, Mr. Morris, and
7	just for reference out here for the general
8	public, if you do plan on talking to your public
9	input and you want to ask questions of Mr. Morris,
10	you will address us and we'll bring it back up if
11	you need to clarify things just for clarification.
12	Any questions for Mr. Morris?
13	MR. JONES: You said Everett bought
14	what property?
15	MR. MORRIS: The way that property
16	has always been one owner, but it's two lots.
17	MR. JONES: That's the lot where the
18	buildings are?
19	MR. MORRIS: Exactly. Okay. So my
20	
21	the house where I'm at now. And then they sold
22	the other lot this January, I think.
23	MR. JONES: Okay. That's the
24	Everett; right?
25	MR. MORRIS: Yeah. Yeah.

]	MR. FERGUSON: Okay. Do you have
2	any idea where the septic system is?
3	
4	That's the problem, this is all hill, of course,
5	incline. But the septic, the leach field is right
6	over here. The tank is here, and that's in there.
7	So I can't go that way, and I still couldn't
8	get I couldn't get 40 foot even with that. I
9	would have to put it right up against one end of
10	the house, and then I still would have been
11	pushing over against 36 Lot Number 36 but I
12	couldn't put it on top of the septic system anyway
13	so
14	MR. JONES: Didn't your builder
15	realize he needs a permit?
16	MR. MORRIS: Well, I used a builder
17	that I've used in the past, and he is from
18	Highland County, and he asked and I said no,
19	because he usually doesn't work in Greene County.
20	So, again, that was on me.
21	MS. MORRIS: I was surprised that
22	the builder didn't
23	MR. MORRIS: Yeah. But like I
24	said, we actually built a garage over when we
25	lived on Stone, and we didn't get it from then.
Ĺ	

1	So I really didn't think same thing, we tore
2	down an old building and put a new building in.
	MR. ANTHONY: So he took your word
3	and went to town?
4	MR. MORRIS: Yeah, Yeah, because
5	
6	we had used him before. MS. KOLBE: I follow your story. I
7	
8	got confused along the point of, I recognize
,9	and not understanding I have been told living
10	in agricultural on an agricultural lot, I've
11	been told, "Hey, you don't need a permit," and I
12	know that not to be true, obviously, sitting in my
13	seat. But I get confused at the point of the
14	story when you were told by Greene County and
15	red-tagged, but then still moved forward.
16	so tell me what happened at that
17	stage of the pathway.
	MR. MORRIS: Well, the only thing
18	that happened after Greene County had flagged me
19	was they put the doors on and the concrete floor
20	in, and that's because that was the next day after
21	
22	I got the notice from the county, and it was
23	already scheduled, and so I couldn't stop it from
24	
25	So, like I said, it literally was

	1
	less than 24 hours later, they were already on the
,	2 way.
•	MS. KOLBE: If you had called them
4	and said, "No, don't pour the concrete"
5	
6	would have had to pay for the concrete and
7	
8	MS. KOLBE: Okay. My other question
9	is, Alan had mentioned, you know, as of 10 days
10	ago when you hadn't pulled a building permit,
11	because that's when we prepared that packet, is
12	that still accurate?
13	MR. MORRIS: So the county contacted
14	us, and I had the letter from you guys in the
15	mailbox. I hadn't gotten it out of the mailbox
16	yet.
17	The county left the thing on the
18	door about, "We're replacing the roof."
19	Well, I didn't realize and
20	neither did my contractor since he is from
21	Dayton that Greene County has to have a roof
22	permit.
23	So the county flagged that, and then
24	the building.
25	Well, when I called the county to
Ĺ	200

Į	<u>.</u>
1	ask about it, she said, "well, don't apply for
2	your building permit until the township approves
3	the building," so I waited. I still have to do
4	that and pay probably a late fee.
5	MS. KOLBE: So I'm understanding
6	you, is no, you're awaiting the results of this
7	meeting?
8	MR. MORRIS: Yeah. Yeah, because
9	she said the township has to approve before
10	MS. KOLBE: And if I understand you
11	correctly, even though you got the red-tag and
12	realized you weren't supposed to proceed, you
13	decided not to because you would have expense
14	associated with continuing? Even if you continued
15	to pour the concrete, you would still have to pay
16	for it, so you elected to continue anyway?
17	MR. MORRIS: Yeah.
18	MS. KOLBE: Okay.
19	MR. MORRIS: Yeah. I was just it
20	was a matter of, if I stopped it, I was going to
21	pay, and then I was going to pay again if it gets
22	approved, and so
23	MS. KOLBE: You were aware you were
24	supposed to stop?
25	MD MODDIS! Yes.

	MR. JONES: The permit that you're
2	
3	
4	MR. MORRIS: Yeah, and the roofing
5	
6	
7	MR. MORRIS: That's what initiated
8	everything was the roof on the house, and then the
9	building was there, and that's when it got
10	flagged.
11	MR. ANTHONY: Hang on a second. And
12	you can come to the podium and state your name,
13	and then you can talk as a unit, that's fine.
14	(Reporter interruption for
15	clarification.)
16	MS. MORRIS: Cynthia Morris. So
17	when we got the paper on the door, it didn't say
18	to stop building. That is something that I did
19	ask my husband, like, multiple times, because I
20	said, it doesn't say to stop. And he is like,
21	"Yeah, it is not marked to stop." So we did
22	continue.
23	Then we got the letter out of the
24	mail. That's when I was, like, "wait a minute.
25	We're missing something."
L	

1	And so we got the letter out of the
2	mail, and the last thing to happen was the
3	concrete. And he called and said, "Hey, we have
4	to go to the township." And he is, like, "I have
5	already paid the guys. They're coming tomorrow.
6	If I stop it, you're going to have to pay them
7	again, because this has already been paid for."
8	So we did choose to go ahead and do
9	it, because we thought, "Well, we've got to pay
10	for it either way, and if we have to take it down,
11	we have to take it down."
12	Does that make sense?
13	MS. KOLBE: But you did receive the
14	letter before the concrete was down?
15	MS. MORRIS: Yes, we did receive the
16	letter before the concrete was down by a day.
17	Now, it was probably in my mailbox.
18	MS. KOLBE: Yeah.
19	MS. MORRIS: By a day, yes.
20	MS. KOLBE: You wanted to get the
21	time line of that information spread.
22	MR. JONES: So that access driveway
23	that you have to get into your building, that's
24	not your property?
25	MR. MORRIS: No. No, the property

1	line is actually on this side of the
2	MR. JONES: Where the Blue Line is?
3	
4	MR. JONES: Because when I pulled
5	in, I pulled in on that access driveway.
6	MR. MORRIS: Correct.
7	MR. JONES: And I didn't know if the
8	fence post was yours.
9	MR. MORRIS: That belongs to
10	Mr. Everett.
11	MR. ANTHONY: There was no
12	conversation about using the property when you did
13	it, you just did it?
14	MR. MORRIS: Yeah.
15	MS. KOLBE: Have you had a
16	conversation about after that point about
17	accessing the barn?
18	MR. MORRIS: Yes.
19	MS. KOLBE: Do you have a so we
20	have some shared property where I live and we have
21	a legal document for easement and everything.
22	Have you worked that out?
23	MR. MORRIS: We have discussed it.
24	There's nothing in writing.
25	MS. KOLBE: Okay. If it were to
L	

continue, is that something that you feel like, 1 from your point of view -- not the perspective of 2 that person -- do you feel like from your 3 perspective that's something you would be able to 4 work out legally? 5 sure. MR. MORRIS: 6 MR. ANTHONY: That's later. Yeah, 7 what -- since it's for what --8 Since it is what it MR. MORRIS: 9 is, it's not something that I would access much. 10 I would only be driving those cars in and out 11 would be the only time I would do that. 12 I mean, to actually go onto his 13 property -- and I probably could get them out of 14 the garage without doing that, but it wouldn't be 15 very feasible --16 MS. KOLBE: Yes. 17 See, when -- but yes. MR. MORRIS: 18 this was initiated, like I said, my cousins owned 19 that, and they had already -- we had permission to 20 use the driveway, and so that kind of -- you know, 21 it kind of fell out of my sight, I was so worried 22 about so many other things. 23 MS. KOLBE: You and your cousin 24 didn't write out an easement so that didn't get 25

1 taken care of? 2 MR. MORRIS: No. 3 MS. MORRIS: So when the contractors came out and started building, they used the 4 driveway and we did tell the contractors, "That's 5 not our driveway," and they did leave stuff on 6 that property, and I was very upset about it at 7 that time, because it was not our property, and we 8 know it's not our property, and we knew that we 9 did not have permission to have that on their 10 11 property, 12 So I do know that, and I wanted to say that, because we did talk to the contractors 13 about that -- that's not our property -- when they 14 were building the building. 15 16 MR. JONES: And the property owner never said anything to you at that time? 17 18 MS. MORRIS: No, nobody said anything to us at that time. 19 20 MR. JONES: You got that building all the way up without the property owner saying 21 22 anything? 23 MS. MORRIS: Yes. But without --Mr. Everett talked to us when it was already 24 pretty well built, and then he was like, "oh." 25

_	So he didn't say anything about
1	anybody being in the right-of-way, which I think
2	anybody being in the right of har,
3	we were switching over people at that time.
4	MR. JONES: Gotcha. Thank you.
5	MS. MORRIS: You're welcome.
6	MR. ANTHONY: Maybe I missed
7	something. Walk me through the time line again.
8	Property and ownership of the
9	property next door, switching out with people, can
	you explain that time line?
10	You started it before your neighbors
11	
12	owned the property? MR. MORRIS: Well, yeah. We
13	MR. MORRIS. WETT, June 11 MR. MORRIS. WETT, June 11 MR. MORRIS. WETT, June 12 MR. MR. MORRIS. WETT, June 12 MR. MORRIS. WE
14	MR. ANTHONY; But it self ware is my
15	your property? Here is my question. Here is my
16	question.
1.7	MR. MORRIS: Okay.
18	MR. ANTHONY: You started building
19	the property. I know there's some mishmash with
	the contractor you used on your property, and you
20	it "stan " but now I see gravel on the property
21	have changed ownership, so it has been
22	that has since changes show that has since changes show the second state of the second
23	added on to, still with no permission. Am I missing something? I'm just
24	Am I missing something: 2 m July
2	trying to understand fully. And somewhere in the

1	middle there, the neighbors bought the property,
2	but it continued to be used as access as
3	construction access?
4	MR. MORRIS: Yes, it was. And we
5	talked to the contractor about that.
6	MR. ANTHONY: But they kept doing
7	it, and still now with a gravel ramp up to the
8	driveway; right?
9	MR. MORRIS: Yeah.
10	MR. JONES: Out to the garage;
11	right?
12	MR. MORRIS: Yeah, that was at the
13	end.
14	MR. JONES: Okay.
15	MR. MORRIS: Gravel ramp.
16	MR. ANTHONY: I had to get clear on
17	the time line. I was missing the ownership change
18	in there?
19	MR. MORRIS: Correct.
20	MR. JONES: How long has it been
21	since the ownership of the other property when
22	did that change hands from your cousin?
23	MR. MORRIS: I could ask him. He is
24	sitting here.
25	MR. JONES: No, that's okay. If he
L	21 110

1 speaks, we'll ask him then.	·
MR. MORRIS: Yeah.	
MR. JONES: It's been	
4 1	ry, I think.
MR. JONES: Before y	ou started the
6 garage. Before	
7 MR. MORRIS: Februa	
MR. JONES: Before y	ou started the
g garage.	
MR. ANTHONY: I know	
11 MS. MORRIS: We cont	
garage in November. We bought th	e property in
13 August. We contracted the garage	
14 So I don't know exac	
started breaking ground, but we c	
16 November, and I think they moved	in January or
17 February, they switched over.	
MR. ANTHONY: In th	e middle of the
process of the barn being built?	
MR. JONES: Thanks.	
MS. MORRIS: You're	welcome.
MS. KOLBE: Can I a	isk, who the
23 access ramp is to the barn; corr	ect?
MS. MORRIS: Uh-hu	uh.
MS. KOLBE: Can I a	ask who contracted

Sue Terry, FAPR, RPR, CRR, CRC 45 North Detroit Street Xenia, Ohio 45385 (937) 562-5101

•]	that?
2	MS. MORRIS: Korman's, the
3	
4	because we didn't realize it was going to have to
5	be up so high.
6	So when I went out, I was like,
7	"Oh."
8	Now, we had talked about, and we
9	still are talking about, if we can get it
10	approved, to make an access point just here, not
11	off the road, but off of our property over to here
12	to come into it so we wouldn't have to bother.
13	We did talk to Mr. Everett, and he
14	said that shouldn't be a problem, that we can make
15	an agreement out.
16	MS. KOLBE: At what point were you
17	aware that it was not entirely on your property?
18	MS. MORRIS: By the time they made
19	that access, the hill up to there, the property
20	was already like, it was already built, like,
21	the sides and everything were already on it.
22	MS. KOLBE: Okay.
23	MS. MORRIS: So in it was already
24	in the works when it was happening.
25	MS. KOLBE: Once you realized, "My,

This is not my land I built something goodness. 1 on," what was your response to that? 2 well, it wasn't -- I MS. MORRIS: 3 still don't think anything about it being built on 4 my land, because the barn is on my land. just that hill of a driveway that's not, and I 6 just -- in my mind -- and you would have to ask my 7 husband what his mind is -- is that we're going to 8 have to come over onto our property and figure out 9 how to get into that. 10 Yes; right now, we don't have 11 that -- a way to get in -- besides their driveway. 1.2 My daughter lived in my house before 13 She always had access to the driveway with I did. 14 our cousins; always. It was just a verbal 15 agreement, we'd always have access. 16 When we actually closed on the 17 house, I was surprised when they told me, "No, no, 18 that's not your driveway. The only driveway you 19 have is out front." 20 And so we've already talked okay. 21 about making an access way that's not the 22 neighbors, and he is the one that said, "No, no, 23 we can make provisions with each other so that you 24 guys could use the driveway once in awhile,

because we won't be in there. It's not like we're 1 going to go in and out, like, our driveway. 2 for storage of our cars." 3 4 MS. KOLBE: So it's my understanding that it's your belief that it's okay for you to 5 make modifications to other people's property as 6 long as it's not a building? 7 8 MS. MORRIS: No, I don't mean that at all; not at all; not at all. 9 10 Like I said, when the driveway got 11 put in and I went out, I was, like, "I think this" -- but I didn't know where the property line 12 13 was, you know. It just -- wasn't really modification, it's more just gravel there is what 14 I thought. There's just gravel there. 15 We can move the gravel and it wouldn't be a modification 16 17 to the property. 18 MS. KOLBE: Can we agree that right now that -- we have changed it, modification. 19 have changed -- we've added something to land that 20 is not ours? You can choose not to -- other 21 22 words. 23 MS MORRIS: Well, I don't -- I don't completely agree, because I think it's just 24 gravel that we could push back if they wanted us 25

1	to.	İ
2	MS. KOLBE: Okay.	
3	MS. MORRIS: It's not like we built	
4	up land.	
5	MR. JONES: Right.	
6	MS. MORRIS: We put gravel there,	
7	and we could push off and it would just be on our	
8	property then so I don't see that we have modified	
9	their property.	
10	MR. JONES: You have, because it's	
11	not your property.	
12	MS. MORRIS: There was gravel on	
13	it; yes, I agree with you, but we could change it	
14	back to what they wanted, and I do feel bad that	
15	it got changed, because I didn't think that that	
16	was what it was going to be.	
17	MR. JONES: When I went out and	
18	looked at your garage, if you modify an	
19	entrance put another entrance in coming down	
20	between your house and your property line, aren't	
21	those isn't that entrance to that garage	
22	in loss than 10 feet off the property;	;
23	correct?	
24	MS. MORRIS: The entrance is is	
2.5	 i+	

1 MR. ANTHONY: It's nine feet. 2 MR. JONES: Alan? 3 MR. STOCK: It's very difficult to tell exactly where the property line is. 5 So with one of the documents that you have -- I can show it up there if you would 6 like -- it appears to be about nine feet, nine 7 feet from the driveway over or eight feet, and 8 then perhaps nine or 10 feet onto their property. 9 10 The only way to know for sure is a 11 survey. what I do is, I do the measurements here three or four times and then come up with the best 12 13 I can do. 14 MS. MORRIS: I have a surveyor coming out, but he didn't get there before this 15 meeting. I was trying to get him before this 16 meeting, and he had a hospital stay. 17 So he is supposed to come in the next week or so, and I 18 know that doesn't help us here. 19 20 MR. JONES: Well, what I'm getting at is you're not going to be able to swing in 21 those doors and stay on your property. There's no 22 23 way. I don't think nine feet is enough. I don't 24 think you could do it. 25 MS. MORRIS: And I don't -- I don't

I can bring him back up. I do know like know. 1 there was another garage already there. 2 Right. MR. JONES: 3 There was another MS. MORRIS: 4 garage already there. 5 That was also when that MR. JONES: 6 was all one piece of property, and then it got 7 split off. 8 It got split off, and MS. MORRIS: 9 so the garage was still there, and so we were 10 still using that garage, you know, and having to 11 use that driveway. 1.2 I gotcha. MR. JONES: 13 I'm not saying that it MS. MORRIS: 14 was right or wrong, it was just -- it was family. 15 I understand. MR. JONES: 16 So we really weren't MS. MORRIS: 17 trying to cause a problem with anybody, we 18 I know, facts only, and so I will weren't. 19 give -- we downsized from the Stone Road property, 20 the historic home on Stone Road. We moved here. 21 We did this, you know, knowing that -- I have a 22 son who is on the spectrum, and this is going to 23 be his property where he lives when we pass, and 24 so that's why we bought it so we knew he would be 25

comfortable here. We knew it was small enough. 1 We thought we would be able to build and put our 2 3 cars in the garage. So ... 4 MR. JONES: I don't have any more 5 questions. 6 MR. ANTHONY: Heidi? 7 MS. KOLBE: No, I think we're done. 8 MR. ANTHONY: We may call you back up after public discussion. 9 10 At this time, I'm going to open public discussion. 11 12 Mr. Stock, it's 6:32. 13 we're going to start with who signed up here, I guess. Actually, you know what? 14 Let's actually start with those with clarifying 15 16 questions. 17 Just a reminder, when you come up here, you're addressing the board, if some of the 18 questions need Mr. or Mrs. Morris, we can address 19 the facts or we can call them up later and ask the 20 whole space. 21 So don't turn around and ask them directly. We have the reporter that needs one 22 23 person at a time, and there's a certain order to 24 this process. 25 So those who need clarifying

questions or to provide a general statement. 1 I would like to MR. EVERETT: 2 clarify something. 3 Up to the podium, MR. ANTHONY: 4 state your name and whether you're under oath, and 5 then you can do that. 6 William Everett, and I MR. EVERETT: 7 am under oath. 8 Thank you, and MR. ANTHONY: 9 address, please. 1.0 1634 Winchester Road. MR. EVERETT: 11 Thanks. MR. ANTHONY: 12 So I'm the one that MR EVERETT: 13 purchased the property. We purchased it and 14 closed on it the middle of February -- I believe 1.5 it was February 13th. 16 I -- the Morrises, the other --17 they're cousins -- were the ones I bought it from, 1.8 and I told them that I was going to buy it back in 19 June, and they wanted to wait until the beginning 20 of the year for tax purposes for their farm to 21 So that's why we actually close on the property. 22 waited from June of last year until February of 23 this year to actually close on the property. 24 So the building went -- I think it 25

went up in the middle -- or January sometime it started. It was about two weeks before I closed on the property. It kind of put me in a weird spot. Nobody had said anything to me. It put me in a weird spot, because I didn't -- at that point two weeks before, I didn't own the property, but the Morrises, their cousins, knew that I was going to buy the property at that point for sure. I had a contract written out with them to purchase it.

And so I was a little surprised they didn't tell me about what was going on.

So the communication there was a little bit of an issue, but again, like I said, I didn't officially own the property yet, and I don't -- it was really, again, the position of it that really kind of took me by surprise.

My plan when I was looking at the property was to put a gate up up here and then refence all of this in for our animals, and I live on the other side, the property directly behind this, and I can't see back here very well, and so I don't like not being able to know who is pulling in and who is pulling out with the stuff that we have in the barns, with all the equipment and stuff. I like to know that nobody has access to

it. 1 So we were going to put a gate 2 here -- which we still can do. The problem is, we 3 have to push that gate all the way back here now 4 because they're going to need access through here. 5 So even -- even if we put a driveway here, like 6 they're discussing, they still have to pull out 7 here on the property to access the door. 8 I'm willing to -- like they said, 9 I'm willing to work with them on whatever that 10 looks like. 11 The real issue I have is what did 12 the Morrises and their cousins know about this, 13 and why didn't they let us know? Why wasn't there 14 a little bit more communication, and it still 1.5 sometimes feels like there's still a little bit of 16 miscommunication. 17 And I'm a pretty easy-going person, 18 and I will work with you as long as you 19 communicate with me, especially what's going on on 20 my land. 21 I mentioned bringing the driveway 22 out the other way, but there was some discussion 23 about zoning, and that kind of thing.

My -- my first thing is:

24

okay, if you didn't care about the zoning of the building, why do we care about the zoning of the four-foot driveway?

My biggest concern at this point, after talking to my lawyer, is we can write up something, but the question is in the future.

I'm -- like I said, I'm willing to work with anybody. But this is a very permanent structure, and if they move, if their son moves -- whoever -- they may not be as agreeable, and there's no other options when you have to pull on to my land to access the building.

So that's, kind of, my concerns.

Pushing the gate back back here makes it a little bit harder for trailers. We bring a lot of trailers in and out. To bring in back here would make it a little bit harder to make that turn.

So, ideally, it would be nice to have a gate here and bring the fence, but if I bring the fence right along here, which -- right along the property line, which I believe I have every right to do, it makes it basically impossible for them -- not impossible, but it would make it very difficult for them to pull in

ŀ		
1	and out of.	
2	MR. ANTHONY: Thank you.	
3	MR. JONES: Can we ask him	
4	questions?	
5	MR. ANTHONY: You can ask questions.	
6	Just to him?	
7	MR. JONES: Yeah, just to him.	
8	Specifically, the corner of their property line,	
9	right there is where your yeah, right there	
10	how close is that to the edge of that garage?	
11	You're talking about your gate placement.	
12	MR. EVERETT: Yeah, I would say it's	
13	10 feet.	
14	MR. JONES: Ten feet back towards	
15	you?	
16	MR. EVERETT: The building comes	
17	basically here where the garage doors are.	
18	MR. JONES: That's kind of what I	٠
19	thought when I looked at it. Thank you.	
20	MR. ANTHONY: Thank you. Anyone	
21	else needing clarifying any questions	
22		
23	Anyone in opposition of the	
24		
25	opposition to the variance?	

]	Anyone in favor of the variance that
2	wants to state their approval of the variance
3	not approval their opinion in favor of at the
4	podium publicly, other than the presenter?
5	Any clarification from the board
6	members from Mr. and Mrs. Morris?
7	MR. FERGUSON: I don't believe I do.
8	MR. GRAVUNDER: Clarification on
9	where the location of the septic system is.
10	So we're pointing at a picture, and
11	he was saying, "Right there," but the transcript
12	is going to say, "It's right there," it's not
13	going to tell us
14	MR. ANTHONY: Would the description,
15	estimates from property line
16	MR. GRAVUNDER: Or the general
17	description of where it is approximate to the
18	various property lines and buildings.
19	MR. ANTHONY: Mr. and Mrs. Morris,
20	can one of you give us a more detailed description
21	where the septic field is using this map, and
22	trying to transcribe it in a way it can be
23	described.
24	MR. MORRIS: So are we assuming this
25	is north? I don't know how to I don't know how
L	2 don c know now

1	to describe that.
2	MR. FERGUSON: Yes, that's north.
3	MR. MORRIS: So from the north,
4	it's probably 30 feet over is where it starts.
5	That's the edge of it. And from here, I would
6	assume
7	MR. ANTHONY: From the West?
8	MR. MORRIS: Yeah, from sorry.
9	MR. JONES: That's okay.
10	MR. MORRIS: From the West, as an
11	estimation, I'm saying probably 30 feet, because
12	it kind of goes it kind of goes it wraps
13	around, but it doesn't get way down here, because
14	this is all low-lying area right here.
15	MR. ANTHONY: Is the tank directly
16	off the corner of the house towards the west side
10 17	of the property?
	MR. JONES: The septic tank.
18	MR. MORRIS: The tank is also
19	it's about six feet in from the corner of the
20	house and runs west, and then the line out of the
21	
22	tank heads north. MR. ANTHONY: That's sufficient.
23	· ·
24	MR. JONES: How do you know
25	approximately where that leach field is?

	ţ
:	MR. MORRIS: That's what I was told,
2	that's where the grass grows, because it was kind
3	of all chewed up there at one point, and so that
4	gravel is all just grass.
. 5	
6	
7	MR. GRAVUNDER: Yes.
8	MR. ANTHONY: Thank you.
9	· ·
10	MR. MORRIS: Is that okay?
11	MR. ANTHONY: Any more
12	clarifications before we close public discussion?
13	I don't want to close it if you have anything else
14	you want to bring up.
	MR. MORRIS: I don't think so.
15	MS. KOLBE: Let me actually ask one.
16	We heard Mr. Everett's time line of when they
17	moved in, and he thinks approximately, build might
18	have started around January.
19	Does that sound somewhat accurate to
20	you, that they might have moved in in February and
21	building might have started in January? We can
22	have your wife answer that.
23	MR. MORRIS: No, that's that's
24	fairly accurate.
25	
L	The only thing, I didn't know when

Sue Terry, FAPR, RPR, CRR, CRC 45 North Detroit Street Xenia, Ohio 45385 (937) 562-5101

	hould
1	Mr. Everett closed right away. I mean, I should
2	have, but I didn't.
3	MS. KOLBE: His recollection of the
4	time line of facts and his cousin
5	MR. MORRIS: Right, because we had
6	contracted like I said, we had contracted, and
7	like I said, the building because of weather,
8	it kept getting pushed back and pushed back, and
9	that's why I finally started in January.
10	MS. KOLBE: Were you aware of the
11	contract with his cousin that he your
12	cousin had planned to sell the house before
13	MR. MORRIS: I knew he planned on
14	selling it at some point, yes, because we bid on
15	it. He offered it to us, also.
16	MS. KOLBE: You were aware something
17	was coming?
18	MR. MORRIS: I knew they were
19	offering to sell it, but they have a lot of land,
20	to the know when how. Is that it?
21	MC VOLRE! Yes.
22	MR ANTHONY: And Mrs. Morris back
23	seemed like you want to say
24	1.4
25	MS MORRIS: Yeah, I wanted to say,
4.	

	1 yeah, we were talking about buying the property,
- 1	too. And that was around August when that was
	happening, and we didn't know when. We knew it
4	was going to them, but we didn't know when it came
5	to fruition. And so we did start building
6	
7	spend the money," that's when we told them we were
8	building a barn back there.
9	i i
10	
11	clarification questions?
12	MR. JONES: Not me.
13	1
14	MR. FERGUSON: Huh-uh.
15	MR. ANTHONY: I'm going to close
	public discussion, 6:44.
16	All right. Now it's time for the
17	open discussion amongst the board exclusively. We
18	also could dip into Executive Session if we deem
19	the need to of necessity.
20	I know we chatted a lot about the
21	driveway, about access point and the gravel and
22	the modification of the property, but the true
23	issue is the barn and the setback of the property
24	line.
25	So there's it trickles down and
Į	The crickles down and

1	has relevance, but the true issue is not the
2	driveway. The driveway is as a result of the barn
3	being against code, against the BZA, and also
4	built without any other processes followed.
5	MS. KOLBE: I wanted to ask Alan,
6	because I have the measurements. I don't think
7	I don't think it really matters, per se, but I
8	just wanted to the we have the 10-foot
9	interior setback, but do we have any other
10	measurements? It looks like approximately 10 from
11	that corner, but does it meet any of the other
12	setback requirements from any of the other
13	distance?
14	Does it meet the 40 from any
15	distance from any part of the property?
16	MR. STOCK: The answer would be no.
17	MS. KOLBE: Okay.
18	MR. JONES: And this is also that
19	10-foot change that went in a few months ago and
20	got bumped to 40.
21	MR. STOCK: Several months ago.
22	MR. JONES: Yes.
23	MR. STOCK: Several months ago in
24	fact, it was the end of last year.
25	MS. KOLBE: Can I confirm, Alan, at

no point did you receive a phone call inquiring 1 about what the setbacks were, or anything like 2 3 that? 4 MR. STOCK: Correct. In conferring with Misty, our assistant, until after-the-fact. 5 6 MS. KOLBE: Okay. I felt very frustrated when I heard all the challenges that --7 I love, really, Mr. Everett was being -- but I 8 felt very frustrated by all the challenges that *-* 9 10 were being passed to a neighbor because of a failure to follow the zoning ordinance, because 11 that's why we have a zoning ordinance, because, 12 you know, that's part of why we have it, you know, 13 to not pass on undue challenges to anyone, because 14 that's why we have a setback. The setback is to 15 have distances from our neighbors to allow our 16 neighbors to also use their properties as they see 17 18 fit. 19 And so I was frustrated during that 20

And so I was frustrated during that very neighborly statement by Mr. Everett, because there has been challenges passed down to him because of the failure to follow the ordinance. So that's, unfortunately, frustrating.

21

22

23

24

25

MR. JONES: If he had it set back to 40 feet from the driveway, and then the 40 feet

off the back property line, his access to gravel 1 He could wouldn't count as anything permanent. 2 cut a new driveway on his own property? 3 MR. STOCK: You're asking multiple 4 questions, so if I don't answer you, it's not 5 because I'm not trying to. 6 Gotcha. MR. JONES: 7 You have to pull a MR. STOCK: 8 driveway permit from the person who maintains the 9 road or the entity. 1.0 That would be This is Route 68. 11 ODOT District 8. They would do this. 12 There's already a driveway here. 13 Right. MR. JONES: 14 So you do not need to MR. STOCK: 15 ask permission for a driveway, because a driveway 16 connects to a roadway, which the responsibility is 17 the maintenance. 18 MR. JONES: This really isn't that 19 important, because we're talking setbacks, but --20 MR. STOCK: Yes. A driveway can be 21 placed right on the property line as long as you 22 know where the property line is. 23 so a driveway, hypothetically, is 24 this right -- well, actually, it's from the 25

1	roadway to the existing one.
2	Once you're on the interior, they do
3	not have to ask permission to run impervious
4	material.
5	MR. JONES: Okay.
6	MR. STOCK: If it's pervious
7	material if it's asphalt or cement, then they
8	do, because it goes into the calculation.
9	MR. JONES: That's what I figured
10	for the property tax and stuff.
11	MR. STOCK: So from here to here,
12	and again, we can do it from right there. I do
13	have those measurements.
14	MR. JONES: Oh, it's not that
15	important. I just wondered if just the driveway
16	thing, the setback, I know, is the whole thing,
17	but the driveway thing plays into it, too.
18	MR. STOCK: If you're looking so
19	if you go from here to here, that's 33 feet. So,
20	again, just a little past here would be back
21	there.
22	MR. JONES: Uh-huh.
23	MR. STOCK: Was that the second part
24	of your question?
25	MR. JONES: That was pretty

much you pretty well got it. I pretty well
understood, but I wanted to hear you say it to
clarify it.
MS. KOLBE: What I'm visualizing
and I'm not great at spatial relations is based
where I'm understanding the leach field to be, I
don't see a place on this property where you can
fit a 1,500-square-foot building with a 40-foot
setback. I haven't drawn that, but or maybe.
MR. ANTHONY: Without variance.
MS. KOLBE: No, I'm saying
MR. ANTHONY: Without variance.
MS. KOLBE: I don't see a
MR. JONES: What about that side
yard to the north?
MS. KOLBE: You need a variance to
put it in the front yard.
MR. JONES: Right, because of the
front of the house?
MS. KOLBE: Yeah, because that was
my thought, actually. That's the biggest place
not a leach field.
MR. JONES: It drops off pretty
MR. JONES. It diops on proses
quick, too, along that line of the house.

your neighbor, you know. I don't know. There just doesn't seem to be space in that little corner where that was built to have built a structure of that size and have appropriate setbacks.

MR. ANTHONY: But that's when you don't build it or you go through the process to get something built within the approved code or you get a variance before you build it.

MS. KOLBE: That's why I -- and although I heard what they said in terms of they already had paid for the concrete, it's a lot harder to get concrete up than it is to put concrete down. So ...

MR. ANTHONY: So here is how it's going to work: Upon approval, nothing necessarily has to be done. Probably discussion should be made about some sort of easement and how it's going to be enforced. Denial would be something different and, unfortunately, it's not on us, but we probably should talk through what that means.

In fact, to do that, I would like to call an Executive Session, and I need a second to do that.

MR. JONES: I'll second.

1	MR. ANTHONY: Call an Executive
2	Session at 6:52.
3	MR. GRAVUNDER: State the purpose.
4	MR. ANTHONY: For clarification
5	of for clarification of results of a denial of
6	variance requests.
7	MR. STOCK: To speak with your
8	lawyer?
9	MR, ANTHONY: To speak with my
10	lawyer.
11	MS. KOLBE: You're the only lawyer
12	we have.
13	MR. STOCK: Goes into Executive
14	Session.
15	MR. ANTHONY: The board members,
16	Mr. Stock and should the court reporter come,
17	as well, or should not?
18	MR. STOCK: No, should not. And
19	what time.
20	MR. ANTHONY: 6:53.
21	MR. STOCK: We are momentarily
22	suspending.
23	(Executive session from 6:53 p.m. to
24	7:17.)
25	MR. ANTHONY: We are now out of

Executive Session at 7:17. 1 2 For those in the audience, we did seek legal counsel during Executive Session for 3 persons understanding legal ramifications of any 4 results tonight. 5 6 Now to return to board discussion regarding the variance consideration, and before 7 we do that, let me do something else here. 8 9 I do want to read into the record the Duncan criteria. It was in the packet, 10 Exhibit C, so bear with me here, test my reading 11 12 ability, 13 Question Number 1: Whether the property in question will yield a reasonable 14 1.5 return, or whether there can be a beneficial use of the property without the variance. 16 17 The Applicant's answer was: The variance will allow storage building for 18 preservation of owners' classic cars. 19 protection could not be achieved without a 20 structure, which will not fit on the property 21 22 anywhere else. Moving the building would cause it to interfere with septic/leach field. 23 24 The Township response to that was: The Petitioner has established a reasonable 25

return, can be realized without the variance. 1 Question Number 2: Whether the 2 variance is substantial. 3 Applicant's answer: I don't feel 4 that the variance or setback is a substantial 5 alteration in the zoning rules. 6 The variance Township response: 7 would be a substantial change to the zoning 8 resolution of a 40-foot setback side and rear. 9 Question Number 3: Whether the 10 essential character of the neighborhood would be 11 substantially altered or whether a joint property 12 would suffer a substantial detriment as a result 13 of the variance. 14 Applicant's answer: This building 15 replaces the old wooden shed that was falling down 16 due to age and a shifted foundation. so I believe 17 this would be significant improvement on property 18 value. 19 Township response: The Petitioners 20 did not consult with the Township regarding 21 requirements, nor did they apply for a zoning 22 They did not consult with the neighbor or 23 seek permission to use the neighbors' driveway or 24 access to build or use the barn afterwards.

25

1 Question Number 4: Whether the variance would adversely affect the delivery of 2 3 the government goods. 4 Applicants' response: I don't believe this will have any effect on the delivery 5 of government goods. 6 7 The Township response: Petitioners' statement is accurate. 8 9 Question Number 5: Whether the 10 property owner purchased the property with knowledge of the zoning requirements. 11 12 Applicant's answer: I was not aware of the setback of forwarding from interior 13 property lines. My research had shown 10-foot 14 setbacks for auxiliary buildings, because I didn't 15 realize a difference in AI agriculture rules. 16 17 Township response: The Petitioners did not contact the Township for guidelines. 18 Ten-foot setbacks are not consistent in any 19 district for accessory structures -- sorry, 20 10-foot setbacks are not consistent in any 21 district for accessory structures -- I'm sorry, 22 are not consistent in any district for accessory 23 Ten-foot is only a potential side 24 structures. yard setback for a residential home in an R-1 25

| district.

Question Number 6: Whether the property owners' predicament can feasibly be obviated through some other method than a variance.

Applicant's response: The variance would be the only feasible way to allow a storage barn to fit on the property.

Township response: Petitioners action of building without a zoning or building permit has nullified other input for options.

Question Number 7: Whether the spirit and intent behind the zoning requirement would be observed and substantial justice done by granting the variance.

Applicant response: I believe the property is improved with this variance being issued, and no encroachment or detriment on neighboring properties.

answers to the lack of understanding and encroachment -- of encroachment to a neighbor's property for either the structure's location and the granting of a ramp on the neighbor's property, further undermining neighborly cooperation by

1	assuming permanent use of the driveway, and
2	placement of aggregate without first asking.
3	That was a seven-question Duncan
4	standard.
5	The second thing I want to read into
6	the record is 600.8.5, this is another resolution
7	with four other questions.
8	Question Number 1: Conditions and
9	Circumstances. What special conditions and
10	circumstances exist which are particular to the
11	land, structure, or building involved, and which
12	are not applicable to other lands, structures, or
13	buildings in the same district?
14	Applicant's answer: The shape of
15	the lot and location of the septic is a problem
16	unique to this property.
17	Township response: The septic
18	system location has not been provided.
19	Question Number 2: Property Rights.
20	what literal interpretation of the provisions of
21	the zoning districts would deprive the applicant
22	of property rights commonly enjoyed by other
23	properties in the same district under the terms?
24	Applicant's answer: As stated
25	before, in trying to honor the setback of 40 feet
	1

wouldn't allow building anywhere on the property 1 as it is. 2 Township response: Building and 3 accessory structure is not a right of ownership. 4 Question Number 3: No special 5 Why would granting this variance privileae. 6 requested not confer to the applicant any special 7 use or privilege denied by the resolution of other 8 lands, structures, or buildings in the same 9 district? 1.0 Applicant's answer: This simply 11 allows for indoor storage of my possessions, while 12 not granting any special uses or extreme 13 circumstances. 14 Township response: Building without 15 permits encroaching on property line, adding fill 16 on neighbors' property, and increased setback by 17 30 feet is a special privilege. 18 Harmony with And, lastly, Number 4: 19 locality. Why would the variance requested not 20 alter the essential character of the legality? 21 Applicant's answer: This would be a 22 structure not unlike many surrounding properties 23 already had (grandfathered) from the previous 24 zoning rules. 25

1

2

Township response: Any improvements or structures must be approved by current zoning standards, not past practices.

4

5

3

Thank you for bearing with me. I needed to read that into the record for purposes of our next step.

6 7

And now to move on to board discussion regarding any potential motion regarding this variance.

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

8

MS. KOLBE: I think we're really guided by the Duncan criteria. We're really guided by our resolution, and I appreciate you reading those into the record. And I think, unfortunately, that makes it really clear -- to me, at least -- that this is a significant It significantly goes beyond our variance. resolution and has significant issues in its encroachment on neighboring property, on being not just a little beyond the required setback as we've had you know in other cases, but 30 feet. I mean. we're talking triple -- or excuse me -one-quarter of what's being asked in all directions, and granting, you know -- in a situation, unfortunately, where no guidance was asked on the process, so we weren't able to, you

know, kind of say, "Okay. Maybe build a smaller structure so we could get further from the edge of the property." And so we're kind of put in this tough spot of: We can't put this large structure 40 feet from the edges of the property, and it's already interfering with Mr. Everett's ability to use his property as he sees fit.

MR. ANTHONY: Question Number 3
falls in line here in regard to what you're
saying. Applicant did answer whether there would
be improvement on the property, but whether
adjoining properties would suffer a substantial
detriment, and it's post -- post being shown even
on the map that it would immediately alter plans
for the neighbor, and there's no discussion at all
as a direct detriment on the neighbor.

I think the other thing would be property rights on the 600.8.5. You can build a barn on your property. There's rules to do it. And not knowing the setbacks or researching and not being accurate doesn't absolve the property from needing the variance in the first place.

MS. KOLBE: Yeah. I think we commonly see people, you know, kind of respond to these Duncan criteria with, kind of, this idea of:

It's going to improve the property values of my property, or make my property look better. But that's actually not really what we're looking for. We're looking for, you know -- in a variance, we're not saying, you know, "Does your property look better," or "people looking at your property better," we're looking at, you know, "Are you fitting within the zoning resolution?" And if not, "what is there special about your property that makes you unable to follow the rules?"

about this property that makes it different that wouldn't have allowed them to follow the rules if they had asked for guidance earlier. Maybe it might have meant a smaller shed, maybe it might have meant something different. But I don't see something that wouldn't have maybe allowed them to follow rules. Maybe it might have meant that they couldn't fit an accessory building on their structure, but I don't see anything particular about this property that fits that criteria.

MR. ANTHONY: Any more thoughts, Darin or Virgil?

 $$\operatorname{MR}.$$ JONES: He said they started this in November of last year.

If he had the application then, came 1 in for the permit, it would have been the 10-feet 2 thing. 3 And I agree that that's not the way 4 it was done, and it was not right. But if he had 5 done it the way he was supposed to in November, he 6 would have came in and asked for a variance, the 7 same thing as he already done; the problem is, he 8 went against the rule and did it without checking. 9 That's all I have to say. Yeah. 10 MR. FERGUSON: Pretty close to the 11 neighbors' property, too, the way it sets. 12 could have been turned a little and changed, might 13 make a difference, but they didn't, so we have to 14 put up with what we got. 15 MR. JONES: And the neighbors said 16 that the easement probably won't be a problem, 17 doesn't seem to be a problem for access. 18 that's not the problem, it's the 10-foot off the 19 That's the problem. line. 20 MR. ANTHONY: Yeah, whether the barn 21 is built or not, the problem is where the barn 22 should be. 23 correct. MR. JONES: 24 And the substantial MR. ANTHONY: 25

nature of it, and maybe to his point, had the 1 process been followed, maybe a less-substantial 2 variance could have been requested with input from 3 4 Alan, from us. 5 MS. KOBLE: Honestly, like even -- he is lucky he has got a really cool 6 neighbor who is willing to kind of work with him 7 8 But like even that, like you go to your neighbor first before there's a problem, you know, 9 and say, "Hey, I'm going to need some access," 10 because it's real hard to say no to your neighbor 11 you live next to who already has an access 12 13 So, you know, it's hard to say whether problem. there's neighborly relations, is this something 14 Mr. Everett would want to do. I wouldn't even 15 want someone to have something built on my 16 property, but if they lived next door, I might say 17 yes to not, you know, have a dispute to my 18 neighbors, which is what neighbors do. 19 20 MR. ANTHONY: Which is why it's 40 21 feet. 22 MS. KOLBE: Yeah, that's why you ask first if you notice someone lives next door to you 23 to be nice, yes. 24 \$ 1 (1) 25 MR. ANTHONY: Daron, just one

l l	· ·
1	thought, too. I know what you're saying. Had
2	the had it been applied for the proper way in
3	November
4	MS. KOLBE: It changed before
5	November.
6	MR. JONES: No, the end of the year.
7	MR. ANTHONY: But, either way, had
8	the process been followed, the discussion with
9	Alan would have been had.
10	MR. JONES: Correct.
11	MR. ANTHONY: He was even privy to
12	what the Zoning Commission was in the works of
13	doing, and he would have given deadlines, "Hey,
14	listen, it needs to be done soon," in other words,
15	it's going to be done January 1 it didn't
16	happen. I understand, like, the talks of the
17	contract was signed, but the process wasn't
18	followed, and had it been followed, discussion
19	would have happened.
20	MR. JONES: Right.
21	MR. ANTHONY: The ifs, ands and buts
22	are almost
23	MR. JONES: Exactly.
24	MR. ANTHONY: Any more things to
25	consider before a potential motion?

1	I'm willing to take a shot at a
2	motion. Anyone have thoughts about a motion?
3	MS. KOLBE: Response should be
4	
5	MR. ANTHONY: No matter what the
6	response is, should be in the affirmative, "yes"
7	in favor of or "nay" in denial of.
8	MS. KOLBE: Then I will try a
9	motion.
10	MR. GRAVUNDER: The motion is in the
11	affirmative, so the motion would be granting the
12	variance.
13	MS. KOLBE: Or denying.
14	MR. ANTHONY: So the motion is
15	made I was wrong, rewind.
16	The motion is made in the
17	affirmative, and the vote for or against.
18	MS. KOLBE: I've got it. I remember
19	now,
20	So then I make a motion to approve
21	the variance as written based on the Duncan
22	criteria and Resolution 600.8.5; is that right?
23	MR. STOCK: I'm not sure what
24	you've
25	MS. KOLBE: Motion to approve it.
L	and to approve It.

Sue Terry, FAPR, RPR, CRR, CRC 45 North Detroit Street Xenia, Ohio 45385 (937) 562-5101

1	MR. ANTHONY: Let's take a pause					
2	here. Can we ask a question of Brian? So we've					
3	read it into the record					
4	MS. KOLBE: Read it into the record.					
5	Is that enough?					
6	MR. GRAVUNDER: So I would read					
7	the make note of the specific variance					
8	requested by Mr. and Mrs. Morris.					
9	MS. KOLBE: Okay. Let's still I					
10	did that right.					
11	MR. GRAVUNDER; still; in the					
12	affirmative.					
13	MS. KOLBE: I motion to approve,					
14	based on the Duncan criteria and Resolution					
15	600.8.5, based on substantial variance of greater					
16	than 30 feet, substantial detriment to the					
17	character and adjoining properties, that return					
18	could be realized without the variance.					
19	MR. GRAVUNDR: The variance is on					
20	his application for notice for the appeal, the					
21	variance to allow reduced footage setback for					
22	accessory structure that is erected on the					
23	property.					
24	MR. GRAVUNDER: I don't think you					
25	need to specify in your motion you only need					

1	the conditions, your motion to approve the					
2	conditions or not.					
3	MS. KOLBE: So I just say					
4	MR. ANTHONY: I think what he is					
5	saying, you need to specify what the variance was					
6	which was written here on Page 2 of Exhibit A, a					
7						
8	MS. KOLBE: Which of the					
9	criteria okay.					
10	MR. ANTHONY: You can explain on a					
11	board vote if you wanted to.					
12	MS. KOLBE: Okay. Take 3. Motion					
13	to approve variance to allow reduced footage of a					
14	setback for accessory structure that was erected					
15	on the property.					
16	MR. GRAVUNDER: Which property?					
17	MS. KOLBE: 1611 U.S. 68 South Xenia					
18	45385 parcel number?					
19	MR. GRAVUNDER: If you would like.					
20	MS. KOLBE: M36-000200361003700.					
21	MR. ANTHONY: Heard your motion. Do					
22	I have a second on the motion?					
23	MR. JONES: I'll second.					
24	MR. ANTHONY: Mr. Stock, would you					
25	call the vote, and if you want to give explanation					
L						

1	the way you vote, you're welcome to.						
2	MR. STOCK: Ms. Kolbe.						
3	MS. KOLBE: Nay, due to substantial						
4	deviations from the Duncan criteria and Resolution						
5	600.8.5.						
6	MR. STOCK: Mr. Jones?						
7	MR. JONES: Yes.						
8	MR. STOCK: Mr. Ferguson?						
9	MR. FERGUSON: Yes.						
10	MR. STOCK: Mr. Anthony?						
11	MR. ANTHONY: No. Can I ask what						
12	happens now?						
13	MR. STOCK: In the event of a tie,						
14	the Petitioner did not prove their case. If it is						
15	50/50, it has to be it has to be in the simple						
16	majority. If there's a tie, again, it is denied,						
17	because there was not a simple majority.						
18	MR. ANTHONY: Motion is denied on a						
19	vote 2/2, and I would call a meeting adjournment,						
20	7:38.						
21	MR. STOCK: And we are no longer						
22	recording.						
23	(Concluded at 7:38 p.m.)						
24							
25	CERTIFICATE						

Sue Terry, FAPR, RPR, CRR, CRC 45 North Detroit Street Xenia, Ohio 45385 (937) 562-5101 1

I, Sue A. Terry, the undersigned, a 2 Registered Professional Reporter, Certified 3 Realtime Reporter, Certified Realtime Captioner, 4 Fellow of the Academy of Professional Reporters, 5 and Notary Public within and for the State of 6 Ohio, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a 7 full, true and correct transcript of my notes 8

9

taken in the captioned matter on the 15h day of

10

April, 2025; 11

12

That I am not an attorney for nor am I related to any of the parties to this action;

13

That I am not interested in the outcome of this case.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Sue A. Terry, FAPR/RPR/CRR/CRC Official Court Reporter Greene County, Ohio Notary Public, State of Ohio My Commission Expires: 8-1-27

Sue Terry, FAPR, RPR, CRR, CRC 45 North Detroit Street Xenia, Ohio 45385 (937) 562-5101